

Negotiating Cultural Identity and Diasporic Belonging in Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Namesake*

*Nour Mohammed Al-Balushi, English Studies Department
Student, Bayan College, Sultanate of Oman*

*Ms. Ranju Padikkapparambil, English Studies Department
Lecturer, Bayan College, Sultanate of Oman*

Abstract

Jhumpa Lahiri's novel *The Namesake* discusses the complicated aspects of cultural identity and race and inheritance to the extent that it opens up the way these aspects shape an individual's view and the life choices we make in a changing society. It narrates the stories of Ashima and Ashoke Ganguli who move from India to the USA with the hope that their children and grandchildren will have better opportunities. Their son, Gogol, however, is forever at odds with himself as he seeks to blend the cultural demands of his parents with the American life that is usually marked by the idealized models of the North American family. The child who is stuck between two cultures finds it really difficult to create an identity for himself. The problem of identity thus turns out to be a major theme in the novel where the cultural and social factors, gender roles, and naming practices are all pointed to as means of keeping alive the paternal inheritance practices and thus determining a person's fate. These conflicting situations are not only characteristic of the Indian immigrant experience but also imply a broader struggle that all immigrants go through in their quest to be accepted by the American society. This article studies the cultural identity negotiation in *The Namesake* from an immigrant and socio-cultural perspective, specifically looking at the topics of mixing cultures, conflicts between generations, and naming. It demonstrates how Lahiri depicts identity as a fluidity factor which is influenced by migration, cultural legacy, and the struggle between assimilation and belonging.

Keywords: Cultural identity; Diaspora; Immigration; Hybridity; Second-generation immigrants; Naming and identity; Assimilation; Patriarchal inheritance; Jhumpa Lahiri

Introduction

Jhumpa Lahiri is a celebrated Indian American writer who explores the angle of migration, displacement of culture, and identity. Being a Rhode Island-raised Indian American who retains a strong connection with her relatives in Calcutta in terms of culture and upbringing, her life experiences in a dual culture employ a remarkable influence on her literary impulses. Being a Barnard College and Boston University-educated writer, Jhumpa Lahiri has made a remarkable mark as a renowned writer of diaspora fiction. Her first collection of short stories, *Interpreter of Maladies*, was a Pulitzer Prize-winning collection of short stories. Her stories are a revelation of the emotional experiences of immigrants. The inspiration for Jhumpa Lahiri's fiction is the rising tide of Indian immigrants in the United States in the wake of changes in American immigration policy in the late decades of the last century. These policy changes created favourable circumstances in the American market for skilled Indians as well as Indian students. *The Namesake* explores the experiences of the two generations of the Ganguli family in this context. The novel answers how two generations of the family live in America with both Indian culture and American culture. It starts with the introduction of Ashoke and Ashima Ganguli in Calcutta. The couple gets married in an arranged Indian marriage. The husband, Ashoke Ganguli, who has qualified in a superior educational institution in Calcutta, gets an opportunity to continue his studies in America. Hence, the whole Ganguli family moves from Calcutta, India, to Boston, US. While the husband adjusts comfortably, his wife finds herself in a state of alienation. The couple gives birth to a boy. The novel focuses on how the two generations live in America amid both cultures and experience shifts in identity when introduced to a foreign country, which is the aspect we will shed light on in the following paper.

Literature Review

Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Namesake* portrays the immigrant experience of an Indian family named Ganguli and captures the struggles faced in adjusting to American society. For characters Ashoke and Ashima, Common practices in American society that are taken in stride in India are strange and perplexing to them. Both characters are faced with new practices in their new country but react in different ways to demonstrate different approaches to immigrant living. But Ashoke is adjusting with everything in American society.

He succeeds in academia and in life, both as a student and subsequently as a teacher, while at the same time being rooted in his Bengali culture. This embrace of travel and change allows them to easily move through life with minimal nostalgia for India. This character starkly

contrasts with Ashima, who faces extreme homesickness. This condition comes because Ashima has problems understanding American customs while getting comfort in letters from her family in India and by maintaining her Bengali culture at home. Significantly, Ashima tries her best to be available emotionally for her kids and preserve the tradition of Bengali roots. Then, the story shifts to the second generation of the Ganguli family, Gogol and Sonya, all of whom are raised in the U.S. These individuals experience assimilation into American culture much more easily. English becomes the primary language spoken, and American cuisine, education systems, and entertainment form the base of their lives. They find the culture of the Indian subcontinent to be something alien. Also, to some degree, the ease of these individuals' lives brings their own set of problems, the most significant of which might be the conflict of allegiance to two different cultures.

Gogol and Sonia were seen as outcasts despite being born in America due to their race. When Gogol feels that people think he was born in India, it reveals the conflict between identity and appearance that pervades the novel. His own need to find home also mirrors his own identity crisis due to his last name, where identity is fluid and something that is sought to be defined. These kinds of experiences can be explained by postcolonial and diaspora studies. Bhabha's idea of hybridity, Hall's idea of an ever-changing identity, and Brah's idea of diaspora space can all be seen to clarify the dynamic state of identity that has been portrayed in *The Namesake*.

Methodology

This research study examines Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Namesake* using qualitative textual analysis. Material methods will include close readings of key passages, character arcs, and symbolic elements including naming, rituals, and domestic spaces. The approach to the text shall be informed by postcolonial and diasporic theoretical frameworks, thereby considering interpretative analysis of cultural identity and adherence.

It draws on secondary sources, including critical essays and diaspora and identity theoretical texts that undergird the conceptual grounding of the study; nevertheless, it hews and gives primacy to the novel itself as the main material. This paper is descriptive and analytical, rather than empirical, in approach: it undertakes to develop an interpretation of meanings encoded within the narrative.

Results / Findings

The analysis also indicates that allegiance to cultural identity in *The Namesake* is complex and varies from one generation to another. In the first-generation immigrants, Ashoke and Ashima, allegiance to cultural identity is evident and conscious. This is evident because Ashima follows cultural traditions such as eating Bengali food, observing Indian festivals, and interacting with people from only their group in Bengal. Ashima's allegiance to cultural identity is therefore a way of coping with displacement. The linkage of Ashoke to cultural identity is more practical. Ashoke is in love with his Indian culture, but he is also interested in deriving the benefits of American superiority in education and his workplace.

His cultural identity consists of both. A reflection of his views on his cultural identity and heritage is one of confusion and alienation. As an American boy, he finds it rather easy to distance himself from the cultural roots of his parental lineage that he sees as oppressive and foreign to him. His disagreement with himself and his problems with his own identity are reflected in the problem with his name itself. Later in life, Gogol identifies himself with his cultural heritage, specifically after his death, to recognize perhaps a commitment to cultural identity can be the result of reflection and loss, as opposed to inheritance.

Discussion

The analysis illustrates that *The Namesake* presents cultural identity as a process that is constantly changing, mediated, and migrating and that it takes time to unfold. Lahiri introduces the concept of identity as a dynamic rather than a static inheritance, the way it is defined, for example, through migration, generational conflict, and social negotiation. Identity relationships that are always contested are pointed out by Butler (1990, p. 231), who writes: “the politics of identity brings into question this unitary notion of identity. In this light, Irvine quotes Charles Taylor's view that identity should not only be viewed from within but also in relation to external social and cultural frameworks (Butler, 1990, pp. 231–232). This theoretical perspective is evident in the character of Ashima, who, on the one hand, meets the expectations of Indian tradition but, on the other hand, adjusts to the cultural norms of American society. The woman is throughout the novel in a liminal state, taking part in both cultures but not belonging to any of them entirely. On the one hand, dividing her life between India and the US, she is, on the other hand, portraying what Homi K. Bhabha (1994, p. 132) refers to as the “third space”, a place of mixing where the identities are “suspended...between nativity cultures.” This third space gives rise to negotiation, hybridity, and transcultural interaction, thus allowing Ashima

to reshape her identity in transnational terms. The transformation process she goes through shows that cultural identity is steadily constructed through experience, adaptation, and intercultural exchange rather than being simply a matter of keeping heritage.

This signifies Ashima's crossing over into the third realm of identity at the end of the "The Namesake" series by Jhumpa Lahiri.

The Namesake has been dissected by critics who regard the issue of cultural identity as a primary concern of the diasporic life. According to Ranju (2021), Lahiri puts the identity issue in the forefront as a fight that never ends but is rather determined by cultural inheritance, naming practices, and conflicts between generations. Ranju (2021) points out that "Gogol is forever divided between what his parents expect from him as Indians and what American society considers as norms; hence, he develops no firm sense of self." This situation makes it clear how living in a dual cultural environment can affect one's mind. On the other hand, the research work brings out the point that people's culture, gender and naming are the indicators of both male dominance and the personal fate of the individual, which gives strength to the argument that identity is more of a social construct than something that is immutable (Ranju, 2021). The paper also implies that such conflicts are not limited only to the Indian immigrant experience but are a representation of a larger cultural Diaspora clashing with the aim of their existence in American society.

Gogol's experience embodies a similar struggle to find identity within the confines of the second generation of immigrants. His struggle to reject his name and cultural heritage in early adulthood symbolises an effort to become a full participant in American culture. But in doing so, he loses something solid in terms of feeling he belongs. It takes him a personal loss in order for Gogol to come to terms with his cultural heritage. His acceptance of both in the end tends towards maturity in terms of understanding identity. It can't be reduced to a point serenely gathered in a single definition. It bridges different definitions of identity.

Notably, *The Namesake* resists the easy duality of tradition vs. modernity. Rather, *The Namesake* shows a more complex and relational quality in the presentation of the culture identity. The book thus reveals a deep truth about culture identity in terms of being adequately adapted through a process of mediation.

Conclusion

In conclusion, *The Namesake* portrays the cultural identity crisis of the Indian community living in the U.S. through the Ganguli family which is the focal point of the novel. It is shown

that the cultural identity is not a fixed legacy but rather a process that takes place through migration, experience, and personal choice. The immigrant couple, Ashoke and Ashima, have however taken totally opposite routes in dealing with cultural identity—Ashoke through compromise and adaptation, while Ashima through an emotional tie to her Bengali roots. Reiterating the main point, the novel tells us that cultural identification is through adaptation instead of complete retention or assimilation. The author's manner of storytelling indeed goes beyond such rigid contrasts as East versus West or tradition versus modernity; identity is depicted as multilayered, dynamic, and spanning over generations. The gradual and steady rapprochement of Ashima and Gogol is rooted in their hybridity and transculturalism which coincides with the postcolonial theories of cultural identity by such thinkers as Homi Bhabha, Stuart Hall, and Avtar Brah.

The significance of this research lies in its impact on the diaspora studies as it boldly asserts that cultural affiliations are relational and changing, and are influenced by both the culture that one inherits and the lifestyle that one currently lives. Thus, *The Namesake* continues to be regarded as a significant text in the understanding of the immigrant's experience, particularly the still and ever-present issues of home, belonging, and identity in the context of diaspora fiction.

References

- Bhabha, H. K. (1994). *The location of culture*. Routledge.
- Brah, A. (1996). *Cartographies of diaspora: Contesting identities*. Routledge.
- Dawes, T.-A. (2007). *The Namesake: A struggle for identity*. *Mako: NSU Undergraduate Student Journal*, 1(1), Article 2. <https://nsuworks.nova.edu/mako/vol1/iss1/2>
- Hall, S. (1990). Cultural identity and diaspora. In J. Rutherford (Ed.), *Identity: Community, culture, difference* (pp. 222–237). Lawrence & Wishart.
- Lahiri, J. (2003). *The Namesake*. Houghton Mifflin.
- Ranju, P. (2021). *Adherence of cultural identity in The Namesake by Jhumpa Lahiri*. *Bayan College International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 1(2).